

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/623,682	07/21/2003	Joseph A. King	5783	5313
75	90 01/05/2006		EXAMINER	
Carl L. Johnson			TSOY, ELENA	
Jacobson And Johnson Suite 285			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
One West Water Street			1762	
St. Paul, MN 55107-2080			DATE MAILED: 01/05/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				i/U			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/623,682	KING ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Elena Tsoy	1762				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence addres	SS			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicae period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day on period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory is to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a tion.  s, a reply within the statutory minimum of this, period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communities (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n 07 November 2005					
		This action is non-final.					
3)□	· <del></del>						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>8-20</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>11 and 13-20</u> is Claim(s) <u></u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>8-10 and 12</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u></u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u></u> are subject to restriction	s/are withdrawn from considera	tion.				
Applicati	on Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the of the oath or declaration is objected to by	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• •			
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Elee the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received.  uments have been received in A e priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  n received in this National Stag	je			
Attachment	:(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/97 No(s)/Mail Date	· —	s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	1			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/623,682 Page 2

Art Unit: 1762

## Response to Amendment

1. Amendment filed on 11/07/2005 has been entered. Claims 8-20 are pending in the application. Claims 11, and 13-20 are withdrawn from consideration as directed to a non-elected invention.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 8, 9 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by KR 8902848 and Minami (US 3,866,568) or Takahashi et al (US 5,567,539) for the reasons of record set forth in paragraph 8 of the Office Action mailed on 6/28/2005.
- 5. Claims 8-10 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over JP 780100390 for the reasons of record set forth in paragraph 9 of the Office Action mailed on 6/28/2005.

Application/Control Number: 10/623,682

Art Unit: 1762

6. Claims 8, 9 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over JP 78020780 for the reasons of record set forth in paragraph 10 of the Office Action mailed on 6/28/2005.

Page 3

- 7. Claims 8, 9 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over KR 8902848 in view of Oehler et al (US 5,820,927) for the reasons of record set forth in paragraph 11 of the Office Action mailed on 6/28/2005.
- 8. Claims 8-10, 12 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over KR 8902848/JP 780100390/JP 78020780 in view of Rosenblatt (US 6,365,169) for the reasons of record set forth in paragraph 12 of the Office Action mailed on 6/28/2005.
- 9. Claim 10 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by JP 78020780 for the reasons of record set forth in paragraph 13 of the Office Action mailed on 6/28/2005.

#### Response to Arguments

- 10. Applicants' arguments filed 11/07/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- (A) Applicants argue that the references of Minami and Takahashi et al. each do not teach the step of applying metal ion yielding materials in particle form to an adhesive on a web. The reference KR 8902848 also does not teach the step of applying of metal ion yielding material in particle form to the adhesive. It is noted that a review of the copy of the reference KR 8902848 obtained from the Office revealed that reference KR 8902848 fails to teach the application of silver active carbon and untreated active carbon to an adhesive. To the contrary, the Applicant respectfully submits that KR 8902848's disclosure of: "... filling between two ...

Art Unit: 1762

permeable nonwoven fabrics (coated with adhesive on the inner side only) with silver-added active carbon ... and untreated active carbon by alternating the silver-added active carbon and untreated carbon in repetition; in repeating ..." (emphasis added.) teaches away from the step of "applying a metal ion yielding material in particle form to the adhesive."

The Examiner respectfully disagrees with this argument. In contrast to Applicants argument, both copies of the translated text of KR 8902848 (obtained from the Applicants and the Office) show that KR 8902848 teaches that one side of the non-woven fabric 11' and 11'' (i.e. either upper side 11' or lower side 11'') is coated with an adhesive, and the adhesive-coated side is fusion bonded to silver-added activated carbon 12' or un-treated 12'' (See e.g. Translation obtained from the Applicants, page 4, lines 20-21). KR 8902848 teaches also that the silver-added activated carbon 12' and un-treated 12'' are alternately arranged between upper and lower non-woven fabrics 11' and 11''. Therefore, KR 8902848 includes teaching that one side of the non-woven fabric 11' and 11'' is fusion bonded to the silver-added activated carbon 12' because KR 8902848 does not limit its teaching to bonding only untreated cabon.

Each of Minami and Takahashi et al is applied not for teaching the step of applying metal ion yielding materials in particle form to an adhesive on a web, but to show that the word "dry" may also be applied to fused adhesives.

(B) Applicants argue that: (i) JP 78020780 does not call for the drying of JP 78020780's binder in order to adhere JP 780207805s sintered powder to JP 78020780's base plate; (ii) JP 78020780 does not teach applying the water treatment material to the adhesive on said solid structure.

Art Unit: 1762

The Examiner respectfully disagrees with this argument. As to (i), JP 78020780 discloses a sterilizing element for water purification apparatus (claimed filter) comprising adhering a water-insoluble silver salt containing powder to a flexible film with a **epoxy resin** binder (See Abstract). It is well known in the art that *resins* can be formulated either as water based or as solvent based. In both cases the **epoxy resin** binder should be dried to secure powder to the plate. The specification as filed also describes the use of **epoxy resin** as an adhesive, which supposed to be dried to secure metal ion yielding particles (See page 6, line 9).

The Examiner Note: adhesive of <u>any</u> kind should be dried (cooled, cured), as evidenced by JP 51067462 (See Abstract) or solvent removing to secure an object to a substrate.

As to (ii), there are only two possible ways to adhere powder to a plate using adhesive:

(1) to apply powder to the coated adhesive or (2) mix the powder with the adhesive and then apply the mixture to the plate.

(C) The Applicant submits that the reference JP 78010390 does not teach claimed limitation "... forming the particle containing web into an article for use in water purification." JP 78010390 does not teach claimed resin.

The Examiner respectfully disagrees with this argument. JP 78010390 expressly teaches sterilizing element for water purification (See title). The Examiner agrees that the abstract of JP 78010390 does not teach resin of claim 10.

(D) The Applicant submits that Rosenblatt does not call for the drying or the curing of his PVA with the iodine applied thereto in order to secure the iodine to Rosenblatt's substrate.

Application/Control Number: 10/623,682 Page 6

Art Unit: 1762

The Examiner respectfully disagrees with this argument. Rosenblatt teaches *curing* (setting) of his PVA with the iodine <u>and other antimicrobial components</u> in order to secure the iodine <u>and other antimicrobial components</u> to Rosenblatt's substrate (See column 8, lines 9).

### Conclusion

11. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elena Tsoy whose telephone number is 571-272-1429. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 9:00AM - 7:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Meeks can be reached on 571-272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1762

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ELENA TSOY
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Elena Tsoy Primary Examiner Art Unit 1762

December 28, 2005